1	wo
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8	
9	Quantum Information Specialists; Leonard J. Pearlstein; James L. McNully; and
10	Gilbert F. R. Rau, ORDER
11	Plaintiffs,
12	VS.
13	United States Department of Defense,
14	Defendant.
15	
16	Plaintiffs have filed a third amended complaint (Doc. 30) pursuant to the Court's
17	October 5, 2011 order (Doc. 27). Plaintiffs have also filed a motion to remove Quantum
18	Information Specialists ("Quantum") as a plaintiff (Doc. 28), a motion for oral argument
19	on the motions (Doc. 29), and a motion requesting the status of the case (Doc. 31).
20	The third amended complaint asserts that this Court has original jurisdiction under
21	28 U.S.C. § 1331 and alleges a claim for theft of technologies under 18 U.S.C. § 1905,
22	the Fourth, Fifth, and Eleventh Amendments, and Patent Law. Doc. 30, at 2. The Court
23	finds that it has jurisdiction over this matter because Plaintiffs' claim is asserted under
24	§ 1905 and the Fifth Amendment.
25	IT IC ODDEDED.
	IT IS ORDERED:
26	1. Plaintiffs' third amended complaint (Doc. 30) is construed as the active

- 2. The motion to remove Quantum as a plaintiff (Doc. 28) is **granted**. The Clerk shall remove Quantum as a party to this matter.
  - 3. The motion for oral argument (Doc. 29) is **denied**.
- 4. The motion requesting the status of the case (Doc. 31) has been **granted** by entry of this order.

Dated this 19th day of December, 2011.

Daniel Gr. Campbell

David G. Campbell United States District Judge